

Resonance regimes of scattering by small bodies with impedance boundary conditions

E. Lakshtanov*, B. Vainberg†

Abstract

The paper concerns scattering of plane waves by a bounded obstacle with complex valued impedance boundary conditions. We study the spectrum of the Neumann-to-Dirichlet operator for small wave numbers and long wave asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the scattering problem. The study includes the case when $k = 0$ is an eigenvalue or a resonance. The transformation from the impedance to the Dirichlet boundary condition as impedance grows is described. A relation between poles and zeroes of the scattering matrix in the non-self adjoint case is established. The results are applied to a problem of scattering by an obstacle with a springy coating. The paper describes the dependence of the impedance on the properties of the material, that is on forces due to the deviation of the boundary of the obstacle from the equilibrium position.

Mathematics subject classifications: 35P25, 35Qxx, 78A45

Key words and phrases: Helmholtz equation, exterior problem, scattering by obstacle, Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.

Introduction

We consider the scattering of plane waves by a bounded obstacle $\mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with a smooth boundary $\partial\mathcal{O} \in C^2$ and impedance boundary conditions. The scattered field $u = u(r)$, $r = (x, y, z)$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation in $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{O}$ and radiation conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(r) + k^2 u(r) = 0, & r \in \Omega, \quad k > 0, \\ \int_{|r|=R} \left| \frac{\partial u(r)}{\partial |r|} - iku(r) \right| dS = o(1), & R \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

*Department of Mathematics, Aveiro University, Aveiro 3810, Portugal, lakshtanov@rambler.ru

†Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA, brvainbe@uncc.edu

The Robin boundary condition holds at the boundary:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - \gamma(k)u = - \left(\frac{\partial e^{ik(r \cdot \alpha)}}{\partial n} - \gamma(k)e^{ik(r \cdot \alpha)} \right), \quad r \in \partial\Omega, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha \in S^2$ is the direction of the incident plane wave, n is the exterior normal for $\mathcal{O}$ (directed into Ω).

In the mathematical literature, the impedance is usually assumed to be purely imaginary with negative imaginary part (see, e.g., [5, 9, 17]). In [13], [14] one can find the theorem on the existence of the solution to the problem (1)-(2) with $\Im \gamma \leq 0$ and the long wave asymptotics away from resonance regimes. We are not imposing any restrictions on the real or imaginary parts of γ . Recall that γ is determined by the forces at the boundary of the obstacle. Existence of an elastic component of the force (proportional to the displacement) defines the real part of γ . A friction (the force proportional to the velocity of the displacement) defines the imaginary part of γ . A more detailed analysis of the dependence of γ on the forces is given in Appendix I. Thus, all the values of the impedance are of interest, including those which correspond to artificial forces such as "negative friction" or negative compressibility coefficient.

For the sake of transparency, we assume that the impedance does not depend on the point of the boundary. We also will assume that $\gamma = \gamma(k)$ is analytic in k in a neighborhood of the point $k = 0$. The latter preserves the analyticity of the scattering matrix and will allow us to discuss poles. Using perturbation arguments one can easily extend the main results below to the case of sufficiently smooth $\gamma(k)$.

Let us recall that any solution of the problem (1) has the following asymptotic behavior at infinity:

$$u(r) = u_\infty(\theta) \frac{e^{ik|r|}}{|r|} + o\left(\frac{1}{|r|}\right), \quad \theta = \frac{r}{|r|}, \quad |r| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

Function $u_\infty \in L_2(S^2)$ is called the scattering amplitude (it depends also on k and α), and the square of its norm

$$\sigma_k(u) = \int_{S^2} |u_\infty(\theta)|^2 dS, \quad (4)$$

is called the total cross-section.

Problem (1)-(2) can be easily reformulated in terms of the Neumann-to Dirichlet operator $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(k)$ which maps the normal derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega}$ of the Neumann problem for the equations (1) into the value $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ of the solution at the boundary. Let $u(r) \in H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$ satisfy (1). Then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, $u|_{\partial\Omega} \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is a bounded operator from $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into $H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$. In fact, it is a pseudo-differential operator of order -1 (see, e.g., [18]) and will be considered as a compact operator in $L_2(\partial\Omega)$:

$$\mathcal{D}(k) : L_2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\partial\Omega), \quad k \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

If operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is considered for complex $k \in \mathbb{C}$ it is understood as an analytic continuation of (5).

From the Green formula it follows that

$$u(r) = - \int_{\partial\Omega} G_k(r, s) \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial n} dS(s), \quad r, s \in \partial\Omega, \quad (6)$$

where $G_k(r, s)$ is the Green function of the Neumann problem (see [16] for the existence of G_k). Thus, problem (1)-(2) for $u \in H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$ is equivalent to the equation

$$(I - \gamma(k)\mathcal{D})v = - \left(\frac{\partial e^{ik(r \cdot \alpha)}}{\partial n} - \gamma(k)e^{ik(r \cdot \alpha)} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}, \quad v \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega), \quad (7)$$

and the corresponding field is given by (6) with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = v$.

Let $\{\varsigma_j(k), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of eigenvalues of operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$, and let $\{\varphi_j(k)\}$ be the corresponding eigenfunctions. Usually we will not mark the dependence of these functions $\varphi_j(k) = \varphi_j(k, r)$ on $r \in \partial\Omega$.

We study the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$, solvability and properties of the solution of the scattering problem (1)-(2) and of the total cross-section $\sigma_k(u)$. In particular, it is shown that the eigenvalues $\{\varsigma_j(k)\}$ of the operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$ are analytic in a neighborhood of $k = 0$, and the inverse values $\{\varsigma_j^{-1}(k)\}, k \geq 0$, belong to a half strip in the upper complex half plane $\{z : 0 < \Im z < c(k), \Re z < d(k)\}$. Long wave asymptotic of the solution of (1)-(2) is obtained and the location of resonances is described. We show convergence of the impedance problem to the Dirichlet problem when the impedance grows along any ray through the origin different from $(0, -\infty)$. These general results are applied to a scattering problem for an obstacle coating by a springy layer. Some other results related to topics discussed below can be found in [3, 11].

The paper is organized as follows. The main results are stated in the next section. The proofs are given in section 3 followed by an attachment containing additional discussions concerning springy covers.

Main Results.

The following theorem is rather simple, but very essential. A proof will be given in the next section.

Theorem 1. *1. Condition $\frac{1}{\gamma(k)} \notin Sp(\mathcal{D}(k))$ implies the existence and uniqueness of the solution of problem (1)-(2).*

Operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is meromorphic in $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with all the poles located in the lower half plane. Let $\gamma(k)$ be analytic in some connected domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, and $\frac{1}{\gamma(k')} \notin Sp(\mathcal{D}(k'))$ for some value of $k = k' \in U$. Then the solution of problem (1)-(2) exists and is meromorphic in $k \in U$ as an element of $H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$.

2. The eigenvalues $\{\varsigma_j(k)\}$ and eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_j(k), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of $\mathcal{D}(k)$ are analytic in some neighborhoods of the origin $k = 0$. To be more exact, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U_ε of the origin in the complex k -plane such that $L_2(\partial\Omega)$ can be represented as a sum

$$L_2(\partial\Omega) = L_{1,\varepsilon}(k) + L_{2,\varepsilon}(k), \quad k \in U_\varepsilon, \quad (8)$$

where the projection operators $P_i(k) : L_2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L_{i,\varepsilon}(k)$ are analytic in $k \in U_\varepsilon$ and orthogonal when $k = 0$, and the first space is finite dimensional and has the form:

$$L_{1,\varepsilon}(k) = \text{span}\{\varphi_j(k), 0 \leq j \leq N_\varepsilon\}.$$

Here, eigenfunctions $\varphi_j(k)$ are analytic in $k \in U_\varepsilon$ (together with their eigenvalues $\varsigma_j(k)$), linearly independent for each k and orthogonal when $k = 0$. The second space is also invariant for $\mathcal{D}(k)$, and the norm of the restriction of $\mathcal{D}(k)$ on $L_{2,\varepsilon}(k)$ does not exceed ε : $\|\mathcal{D}(k)|_{L_{2,\varepsilon}(k)}\| < \varepsilon$, $k \in U_\varepsilon$.

3. For each $k > 0$, the set of inverse values $\{\varsigma_j^{-1}(k)\}$ belongs to a half strip in the upper complex half plane $\{z : 0 < \Im z < c(k), \Re z < d(k)\}$, with the only limiting point at $-\infty$.

The last statement can be found in [1, 4.2]. We will show that the last statement of the theorem leads to the convergence of the solutions of the impedance problem (1)-(2) to the solution of the corresponding Dirichlet problem if the impedance grows along any ray through the origin different from $(0, -\infty)$. Namely, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. Let u_t be the solution of (1)-(2) with $\gamma = te^{i\delta}$, where $-\pi < \delta < \pi$, and let w be the scattered field in the case of the Dirichlet boundary condition, i.e. w satisfies (1) and $w = -e^{ik(r \cdot \alpha)}$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u^t - w\|_{L_2(\partial\Omega)} = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\infty^t - w_\infty\|_{L_2(S^2)} = 0. \quad (9)$$

An analog of Theorem 2 with $k = 0$ was proved recently in [2].

Now we are going to discuss the long wave asymptotics ($k \rightarrow 0$) of the solution of problem (1)-(2). Since the problem is equivalent to (7), it is obvious that the result depends on the asymptotics of functions $1 - \gamma(k)\varsigma_j(k)$ as $k \rightarrow 0$, where $\varsigma = \varsigma_j(k)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are eigenvalues of operator (5). The impedance $\gamma(k)$ can be an arbitrary function, and therefore first we will study the asymptotics of the functions $\varsigma = \varsigma_j(k)$. Then we impose certain conditions on the difference $1 - \gamma(k)\varsigma_j(k)$ and obtain some results for the solution of (1)-(2).

Note that operator $\mathcal{D}(0)$ is symmetric, and therefore numbers $\varsigma = \varsigma_j(0)$ are real (which is not necessarily true for $\varsigma_j(k)$, $k > 0$). Moreover, $\varsigma_j(0) < 0$ since operator $\mathcal{D}(0)$ is negative, see (26). We always can enumerate the eigenvalues in such a way that the sequence $\{\varsigma_j(0)\}$ is not decreasing. Recall that the eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_j(0)\}$ of the operator $\mathcal{D}(0)$ form an orthonormal basis in $L_2(\partial\Omega)$. They also can be chosen to be real valued. The eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_j(k)\}$ for complex k , $|k| \ll 1$, are analytic in k due to Theorem 2. Let u_0 be the unit function on $\partial\Omega$ and let c_j be the scalar projection of u_0 on φ_j , i.e.,

$$c_j := \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_j(0) dS. \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (10)$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j^2 = |\partial\Omega|. \quad (11)$$

Note that eigenfunctions $\varphi_j(0)$ which correspond to a multiple eigenvalue of operator $\mathcal{D}(0)$ can not be chosen absolutely arbitrary, since the existence of analytic in k continuation leads to some restriction in their choice.

Theorem 3. 1. *The smallest eigenvalue $\varsigma_0(0)$ is simple and $c_0 \neq 0$. The following estimate holds*

$$|\varsigma_0(0)| \leq \frac{S}{4\pi C}, \quad (12)$$

where S is the area of $\partial\Omega$ and C is its electrostatic capacity.

2. *The following relation holds*

$$\varsigma'_j(0) = \frac{-i}{4\pi}(c_j)^2, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

3. *Let the impedance $\gamma(k)$ be analytic in a neighborhood of $k = 0$ and $\frac{1}{\gamma(0)} \notin \{\varsigma_j(0), j = 0, 1, \dots\}$. Then the solution of (1)-(2) exists in some neighborhood of $k = 0$ and is analytic in k as an element of $H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$.*

Let $\gamma(k)$ be analytic in a neighborhood of $k = 0$ and $\frac{1}{\gamma(0)} = \varsigma_p(0)$, where $\varsigma_p(0) = \varsigma_{p+1}(0) = \dots = \varsigma_{p+m-1}(0)$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{D}(0)$ of multiplicity $m \geq 1$, and φ_j , $p \leq j \leq p + m - 1$, are the corresponding eigenfunctions. Let

$$\gamma'(0) \neq \frac{i(c_j)^2}{4\pi(\varsigma_j(0))^2}, \quad p \leq j \leq p + m - 1. \quad (13)$$

Then the solution of (1)-(2) exists and depends meromorphically (as an element of $H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$) on k in some neighborhood of $k = 0$. It has a pole of the first order at $k = 0$, and the value of u at the boundary has the form

$$u(r) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=p}^{p+m-1} b_j \varphi_j(0, r) + O(1), \quad r \in \partial\Omega, \quad k \rightarrow 0, \quad (14)$$

where

$$b_j = \frac{-c_j}{(\gamma\varsigma_j)'(0)} = \frac{c_j\varsigma_j(0)}{\frac{i}{4\pi}(c_j)^2 - \gamma'(0)(\varsigma_j(0))^2}. \quad (15)$$

The scattering amplitude has the form

$$u_\infty(\theta) = \frac{\gamma(0)}{4\pi k} \sum_p^{p+m-1} c_j b_j + O(1), \quad k \rightarrow 0. \quad (16)$$

The total cross-section has the form

$$\sigma_k = \frac{\sigma^0}{k^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right), \quad k \rightarrow 0; \quad \sigma^0 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left| \sum_{j=p}^{p+m-1} \gamma(0) c_j b_j \right|^2. \quad (17)$$

4. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $U_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon$ defined in theorem 1, there exists a γ -independent (in the class of impedances analytic in some fixed neighborhood of $k = 0$) domain $V_\varepsilon \subset U_\varepsilon$ such that the solution u of the scattering problem (1)-(2) and the total cross-section $\sigma_k(u)$ have poles at all points $k \in V_\varepsilon$, where $\gamma(k)\varsigma_j(k) = 1$ for some $j \leq N_\varepsilon$ with $c_j \neq 0$.

Remarks. 1. When $k = 0$ is a pole, the main part of the scattering amplitude does not depend on the angle of scattering $\theta \in S^2$ (see (16)), so *the scattering is isotropic*.

2. In the case of a sphere, the growth of the total cross section in resonance regimes is well studied in acoustics (see, e.g., [12]). It was noted that the scattering of acoustic waves by small air bubbles in the water has total cross section which is more than 500 times larger than geometrical cross section of the bubble.

Order of the poles in (14) and (17) at $k = 0$ was restricted by the assumption (13). In general, these poles may have any order which is defined by the order with which functions $1 - \gamma(k)\varsigma_j(k)$ vanish at $k = 0$. There is another condition which restricts the order of these poles.

Recall that the scattering matrix is the operator

$$\mathcal{S} = I + \frac{ik}{2\pi}F \quad : \quad L_2(S^2) \rightarrow L_2(S^2), \quad (18)$$

where S^2 is the unit sphere in R^3 , F is the operator with the integral kernel $u_\infty = u_\infty(\theta, \alpha)$:

$$(Fh)(\theta) = \int_{S^2} u_\infty(\theta, \alpha)h(\alpha)dS.$$

Operator $\mathcal{S}$ is unitary when γ is real, $k > 0$ (see, e.g., [7]). Obviously, the latter is equivalent to the relation

$$\mathcal{S}F^* = \left(I + \frac{ik}{2\pi}F\right)F^* = F, \quad \Im\gamma = 0, \quad k > 0. \quad (19)$$

The unitarity of $\mathcal{S}$ and (18), (4) immediately imply the following statement.

Theorem 4. *Let $\gamma(k)$ be analytic in a neighborhood of $k = 0$ and $\Im\gamma(k) = 0$, $k \geq 0$. Then the scattering amplitude has a pole at $k = 0$ of at most the first order and the total cross-section has a pole at $k = 0$ of at most the second order.*

Example. Consider the case when $\mathcal{O} = B$ is the ball of radius $R = 1$ centered at the origin. Recall that functions

$$u_{n,m}(kr) = h_n(k|r|)Y_{n,m}(\theta), \quad h_n(\rho) = H_{n+1/2}^{(1)}(\rho)/\sqrt{\rho}$$

satisfy (1), and their restrictions on the sphere ∂B are eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{D}(k)$. Here $Y_{n,m}$, $m \leq n$, are spherical functions (eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator

with the eigenvalues $\mu_n = -n(n+1)$ and $H_{n+1/2}^{(1)}$ are the Hankel functions. The solution of the problem (1)-(2) can be obtained by the Fourier method:

$$u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n \frac{f_{n,n}(k)}{kh'_n(k) - \gamma(k)h_n(k)} u_{n,m}(kr),$$

where $f_{n,m}$ are the coefficients of the expansion of the right-hand side f in equation (7) in the basis $\{Y_{n,m}\}$. The same result will be obtained if problem (1)-(2) is solved by means of (7), (6).

It is convenient to enumerate the eigenfunctions $\varphi_{n,m}$ of $\mathcal{D}(k)$ by two indexes: $\varphi_{n,m} = Y_{n,m}/||Y_{n,m}||$, and introduce $c_{n,m}$ instead of c_p (see (12)). Then $c_0 = c_{0,0} = \sqrt{4\pi}$ and $c_{n,m} = 0$ for $(n,m) \neq (0,0)$. Since $f = 1$ when $k = 0$, we have $f_{0,0} = 1$, $f_{n,m} = 0$, $(n,m) \neq (0,0)$. Operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$ has eigenvalues

$$\varsigma_n(k) = h_n(k)/kh'_n(k)$$

of multiplicity $2n+1$. In particular, $\varsigma_0(k) = 1/(-1+ik)$ and

$$\varsigma_n(0) = -\frac{1}{n+1}.$$

The field u may have a pole of any order at $k = 0$ if $\gamma(k)$ is not real. For example, a pole of a high order can be obtained if $\gamma(k) = -1 + ik + O(k^N)$. If $\gamma(k) \equiv \varsigma_n(0)$ then the order of the pole is restricted by theorem 4 and the result depends on whether $n = 0$ or $n > 0$. If $\gamma(k) \equiv -1$, (i.e., $n = 0$), then the field and the scattering amplitude have poles of the first order, and therefore the total cross-section $\sigma_k(u)$ has a pole of the second order. If $\gamma(k) \equiv -(n+1)$, $n > 0$, the scattering amplitude and the total cross-section do not have poles at $k = 0$. The latter is a consequence of the fact that $c_{n,m} = 0$, $(n,m) \neq (0,0)$.

Scattering matrix. It is well known that in the self-adjoint case (in particular, for impedance boundary conditions with a real valued impedance) the scattering matrix $\mathcal{S}$, $k > 0$, is unitary, and the relation $\mathcal{S}(k)\mathcal{S}^*(k) = I$, $k > 0$, implies that the set of poles and the set of zeroes of $\mathcal{S}$, $k \in \mathbb{C}$, are complex conjugate. The next statement generalizes this fact.

We will use the subindex γ to indicate the dependence of the scattering matrix $\mathcal{S}$ and operator F on the impedance.

Theorem 5. 1. Let the impedance $\gamma(k)$ be an entire function (not necessarily real valued on R).

Then $\gamma_1(k) = \overline{\gamma(\bar{k})}$ is an entire function, and

1) the following relation replaces (19):

$$\mathcal{S}_\gamma(F_{\gamma_1})^* = F_\gamma, \quad k > 0,$$

2) the following two statements are equivalent:

$\mathcal{S}_\gamma(k)$ has a pole at $k = k_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
 $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_1}(k)$ has a non-trivial kernel at $k = \overline{k_0}$.
 3) $\mathcal{S}_\gamma(k)$ may have a kernel at real $k = k_0 > 0$ only in the case of absorbing impedance, $\Im \gamma < 0$.

Remark. The existence of a non-trivial kernel of $\mathcal{S}_\gamma(k)$ at a point $k = k_0$, $0 < k_0 \ll 1$, allows one to concentrate energy at a boundary of a small obstacle using an incident wave for which the scattered wave has zero amplitude, see [8] for a practical implementation of this effect. In fact, let v be the solution of (1)-(2) with $-ik$ in radiation condition replaced by ik . Let $v_\infty = v_\infty(\theta, \alpha)$ be the scattering amplitude of this solution. It is defined by (3) with v instead of u and $-ik$ instead of k . Then, for each α ,

$$\mathcal{S}v_\infty(-\theta, \alpha) = u_\infty(\theta, \alpha). \quad (20)$$

This relation is valid for real γ , and therefore it is valid for complex γ due to the analyticity of both sides in γ . (To show (20) for real γ we note that (19) and the reciprocity identity for F lead to $\overline{S u_\infty}(-\theta, -\alpha) = u_\infty(\theta, \alpha)$, $k > 0$, which justifies (20) for $k > 0$, and therefore for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$).

The following is an equivalent form of (20). Let u be a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(r) + k^2 u(r) = 0, & r \in \Omega, \quad k > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - \gamma(k)u = 0, & r \in \partial\Omega, \\ u(r) = u_{in}(\theta) \frac{e^{-ik|r|}}{|r|} - u_{out}(\theta) \frac{e^{ik|r|}}{|r|} + o(1/|r|), & \theta = \frac{r}{|r|}, \quad |r| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{S}u_{in}(-\theta) = u_{out}(\theta), \quad k > 0. \quad (22)$$

Let now Ω be the exterior of the ball of radius $r_0 \ll 1$ centered at the origin, and let $\gamma = -ik_0$. Then $u = \frac{e^{-ik|r|}}{|r|}$ satisfies (21), $u_{in} \equiv 1$, $u_{out} \equiv 0$ and the field u and the density of the energy in a small neighborhood of the boundary are much bigger than in any other point if r_0 is small enough.

An obstacle with a springy coating. The last statement of this section concerns one applied problem: acoustic scattering by an obstacle coated by a springy material. It is modeled (see Attachment) by problem (1)-(2) with the impedance

$$\gamma(k) = -Zk^2, \quad Z \gg 1. \quad (23)$$

The value of Z depends on the relative characteristics of the cover layer and the exterior medium. For example, $Z \gg 1$ if there is a gas layer around the obstacle with an elastic exterior membrane (for example, rubber) and radial walls in the layer attached to both the obstacle and the membrane, and the whole construction is submerged into a liquid. The walls are needed in order to achieve a springy character of the layer (to localize the output of a point exterior pressure). The attachment contains calculation of Z for this particular construction.

The last statement of Theorem 3 implies

Theorem 6. *For each $i_0 < \infty$ there exists Z_0 such that the total cross-section of problem (1)-(2) with impedance $\gamma(k) = -Zk^2$, $Z \geq Z_0$, has poles at points*

$$k_i^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z|\varsigma_i(0)|}} + \frac{\varsigma_i'(0)}{2Z(\varsigma_i(0))^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{Z^{3/2}}\right), \quad Z \rightarrow \infty,$$

for all $i \leq i_0$ with $c_i \neq 0$.

Note that the value of $\Im \varsigma_i'(0) < 0$ in the formula above is given in item 2 of Theorem 3. Hence, generally (when the number of non-zero coefficients c_i is infinite) the number of poles in any neighborhood of $k = 0$ tends to infinity when $Z \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 6 shows a similarity between standard Helmholtz resonators and the construction discussed above which we will call "a gas layer in a liquid". The main feature of Helmholtz resonators is the presence of poles of the scattering cross-section as close to the point $k = 0$ as we please. The same is true for $k_0^\pm$ in the case of a gas layer in a liquid. Moreover, one can deform the Helmholtz resonator into a springy covered obstacle by changing simultaneously the shape of the resonator and the impedance in such a way, that the scattering cross-sections of all the intermediate problems have poles at the same distance from the origin.

Proofs.

We will start with a couple of general formulas needed below. First, let us recall the well known formulas for the scattering amplitude and total cross section:

$$u_\infty(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{|r|=R} \left(ik(\theta \cdot \frac{r}{R})u + u_r \right) e^{-ik(\theta \cdot r)} dS(r), \quad \sigma_k(u) = \frac{1}{k} \Im(u_n, u), \quad (24)$$

where $k > 0$ and R is large enough, so that the ball $|r| < R$ contains the obstacle.

The Green formula for the solutions of (1) implies

$$\int_{\Omega} [-|\nabla u|^2 + k^2|u|^2] dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u_n \bar{u} dS - ik\sigma_k(u), \quad k > 0. \quad (25)$$

Note that the second formula in (24) is an obvious consequence of (25). Formula (25) remains valid if $k = 0$ and the second condition in (1) is replaced by the decay of u at infinity. In this case,

$$-\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u_n \bar{u} dS, \quad k = 0. \quad (26)$$

Thus operator $\mathcal{D}(0)$ is negative.

Proof of Theorem 1. Operator $\mathcal{D}$ is compact in both spaces $L_2(\partial\Omega)$ and $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, and condition $\frac{1}{\gamma(k)} \notin Sp(\mathcal{D}(k))$ implies the solvability of (7), and therefore, the existence of the solution of problem (1)-(2).

Let u be a solution of the non-homogeneous problem (1) with a right-hand side of the equation in the space $L^2_{\text{com}}(\Omega)$ (functions from L^2 with compact supports) and with zero Neumann boundary condition. Function u , being considered as element of the Sobolev space $H^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with all the poles located in the lower half plane, see [19, 20]. Indeed, a pole at a point $k = k_0, \Im k_0 > 0$, would lead to a complex eigenvalue of the Neumann Laplacian, a real pole $k = k_0 \neq 0$ would lead to a non-uniqueness of the solution of problem (1) with the Neumann boundary condition, and a pole at the origin would lead to the existence of a decaying at infinity harmonic function in Ω with zero Neumann boundary condition, see [20]. This properties of u immediately imply that operator

$$\mathcal{D}(k) : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega). \quad (27)$$

is meromorphic in the whole k -plane with all the poles in the lower half plane. (Recall that operator $D(k)$ for complex k is understood as an analytic continuation from the operator on semiaxis $k > 0$).

To obtain the same analytic properties of operator $D(k)$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, one needs to study the kernel G_k of the operator (see (6)). A standard approach to the construction of the Green function (reduction to an integral equation on the boundary) allows one to show that $G_k = G_0 + (G_k - G_0)$ where G_0 is the Green function of the Neumann problem for the Laplacian, function $G_k(x, s) - G_0(x, s)$ has a weak singularity at $x = s$, and the operator in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ with the kernel $G_k(x, s) - G_0(x, s)$ is meromorphic in $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Poles in the region $\Im k \geq 0$ do not exist by the same reason as for operator (27).

The analytic Fredholm theorem implies that $(I - \gamma(k)\mathcal{D}(k))^{-1}$ is meromorphic in $k \in U$ if the latter operator is bounded for some value of $k \in U$. In fact, one needs to refer to a Fredholm theorem for a meromorphic family of operators, see [4].

Let us prove the second statement of the theorem. The kernel G_k is real and symmetric when $k = i\rho, \rho \geq 0$. Thus the operator $\mathcal{D}(i\rho)$ is symmetric. If a family of compact operators (which is $\mathcal{D}(k)$ in our case) is symmetric on a ray and analytic in its neighborhood, then the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of this family are analytic on the ray, see [10]. In particular, the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $\mathcal{D}(k)$ are analytic at $k = 0$. Let us give a little more detail.

Formula (26) implies that $\varsigma_j(0) < 0$. Besides, $\varsigma_j(0) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ since operator $\mathcal{D}(0)$ is compact. Let us fix $\alpha \in (\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon)$ which is not an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{D}(0)$. Let Γ_1 be a bounded contour in the half plane $\text{Re } k < -\alpha$ which encloses all the eigenvalues $\varsigma_j(0) < -\alpha$ and let Γ_2 be a circle of radius α centered at $k = 0$ (which encloses the remaining eigenvalues). Obviously, operators

$$P_i(k) = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_i} (z - \mathcal{D}(k))^{-1} dz, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

are analytic in k in a small neighborhood U_ε of the origin, commute with $\mathcal{D}(k)$, and $P_1(k) + P_2(k) = I$ is the identity operator. We define $L_{1,\varepsilon}(k)$ as the range of operator

$P_i(k)$. Spaces $L_{1,\varepsilon}(i\rho)$, $\rho \geq 0$, are spanned by the corresponding sets of eigenfunctions of the symmetric operator $\mathcal{D}(i\rho)$, and $P_i(i\rho)$, $\rho \geq 0$, are orthogonal projections, and therefore they are self-adjoint. Thus eigenfunctions of $P_1(i\rho)$ (which are also eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{D}(i\rho)$) admit an analytic continuation (see [10]). We refer to the same source [10] but in a simpler situation of a finite dimensional operator (one also could reduce the statement above to the statement for a matrix which is symmetric on a segment and analytic in a neighborhood of the segment). The norm of $\mathcal{D}(k)P_2(k)$ does not exceed $\alpha < \varepsilon$ when $k = 0$, and therefore it does not exceed ε when $k \in U_\varepsilon$ if U_ε is small enough. The second statement of the theorem is proved.

Let us prove the last statement. It is well known that the operator $\sigma : u|_{\partial\Omega} \rightarrow u_\infty$ is bounded (and compact) in L^2 , i.e.,

$$\sigma_k(u) \leq C(k) \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2, \quad k > 0. \quad (28)$$

Indeed, consider the operators which map Dirichlet data on $\partial\Omega$ into the solution u of the Dirichlet problem and its derivative u_r on the sphere $r = R$, $R \gg 1$. These operators are given by formulas similar to (6). The kernels of these operators are smooth when $s \in \partial\Omega$, $|r| = R$, and therefore the operators are bounded (and compact). Thus an application of the first formula in (24) implies (28). On the other hand, from the second formula in (24) it follows that $\Im \varsigma_j^{-1}(k) \|u_j\|^2 = k \sigma_k(u_j)$, where u_j is the solution of the problem (1) whose Neumann data is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{D}(k)$ with the eigenvalue $\varsigma_j(k)$. This and (28) imply that $0 < \Im \varsigma_j^{-1} < c(k)$ for some $c(k) < \infty$ and all j . The estimate $\Re \varsigma_j^{-1} < d(k)$ follows from the representation

$$D^{-1}(k) = D^{-1}(0) + [D^{-1}(k) - D^{-1}(0)],$$

where the first operator in the right-hand side is negative (see (26)) and the second one is bounded (and compact). The compactness of the second operator can be easily derived from the fact that the kernel of operator $D^{-1}(k)$ is the normal derivative of the Green function of the Dirichlet problem. The theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Condition (2) implies

$$(\mathcal{N}(k) - te^{i\delta})u^t = f_1 + te^{-i\delta}f, \quad f = e^{ik(r \cdot \alpha)}, \quad f_1 = -\frac{\partial e^{ik(r \cdot \alpha)}}{\partial n}, \quad (29)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(k) = \mathcal{D}^{-1}(k)$ is the unbounded operator in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ which corresponds to the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. Let us write it in the form $\mathcal{N} = A + iB$, where operators A and B are self-adjoint. Hence, we can rewrite (24) as $(Bu, u) = \frac{1}{k}\sigma(u)$, and (28) implies that operator B is bounded.

We rewrite (29) in the form

$$u^t = (\mathcal{N}(k) - te^{i\delta})^{-1}(f_1 + te^{i\delta}f) = (I + i(A - te^{i\delta})^{-1}B)^{-1}(A - te^{i\delta})^{-1}(f_1 + te^{i\delta}f). \quad (30)$$

Consider first the case of $\sin \delta \neq 0$. Since operator A is self-adjoint, we have

$$\|(A - te^{i\delta})^{-1}\|_{L_2(\partial\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{t|\sin(\delta)|}, \quad \sin(\delta) \neq 0. \quad (31)$$

Thus

$$(I + i(A - te^{i\delta})^{-1}B)^{-1} \rightarrow I, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \sin(\delta) \neq 0. \quad (32)$$

From (31) and the identity

$$te^{i\delta}(A - te^{i\delta})^{-1}h = (A + te^{i\delta})^{-1}Ah - h$$

which is valid for any h in the domain of A , it also follows that

$$s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} te^{i\delta}(A - te^{i\delta})^{-1} = I, \quad \sin(\delta) \neq 0. \quad (33)$$

Since functions f, f_1 are smooth and belong to the domain of A , relations (30), (31) and (33) imply the first of relations (9). The second relation follows from the first one and (28).

Case of $\delta = 0$ is treated similarly. One needs only to note that operator A is bounded from above [1, 4.2] and therefore, estimate (31) holds with the right-hand side replaced by $1/(t - d), t > d$, where d is a constant.

The proof is complete.

The following lemma will be needed for the proof of Theorem 3. Recall that operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is analytic, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_m k^m.$$

Obviously, the kernel of operator $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the Green function of the Neumann problem for the Laplacian in the exterior of Ω . Let us show that $\mathcal{D}_1$ is the one dimensional operator of the projection on the constant u_0 :

Lemma 7.

$$\mathcal{D}_1 v = -\frac{i}{4\pi}(v, u_0)u_0, \quad v \in L_2(\partial\Omega, dS).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the statement above for smooth v or $v \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. Let u be a solution of problem (1) with the Neumann data at the boundary equal to v , i.e.,

$$(\Delta + k^2)u = 0, \quad x \in \Omega; \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = v, \quad (34)$$

We also assume that u satisfies the radiation condition (1). Green's formula implies that

$$u(r_0) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left(\frac{e^{ik|r-r_0|}}{4\pi|r-r_0|} \right) u - \frac{e^{ik|r-r_0|}}{4\pi|r-r_0|} v \right] dS, \quad r_0 \in \Omega.$$

If $v \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, then solution $u = \sum_0^\infty k^j u_j$ is analytic in k as an element of $H^2(\Omega)$, see [19]. We expand the left and right hand sides in the formula above in the Taylor series and equate the linear terms. Then we arrive at

$$u_1(r_0) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi|r-r_0|} \right) u_0 - \frac{i}{4\pi} v \right] dS, \quad r_0 \in \Omega. \quad (35)$$

From this equation it follows that $|u_1| < C < \infty$ as $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, (34) implies that $\Delta u_1 = 0$ in Ω , $(u_1)_n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Thus, u_1 is constant in Ω . The integral of the first term in the right hand side of (35) decays at infinity, and the integral of the second term is a constant. Hence the first integral is zero, and

$$u_1 = -\frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} v dS, \quad r_0 \in \Omega.$$

It remains to note that $\mathcal{D}_1 v = u_1|_{\partial\Omega}$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3. The integral kernel of operator $-\mathcal{D}(0)$ is positive (see, e.g., [15, Example 3, XIII.12]). Thus, from the Perron-Frobenius theorem it follows that ς_0 is simple and the sign of the corresponding eigenfunction is not changing. The latter implies that $c_0 \neq 0$. Let us prove (12). Let u be a harmonic function in Ω equal to $u_0 \equiv 1$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then

$$C = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS = \frac{1}{4\pi} (-\mathcal{N}u_0, u_0), \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}^{-1}(0).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{-1}{\varsigma_0(0)} = \min_{\varphi \neq 0} \frac{(-\mathcal{N}\varphi, \varphi)}{\|\varphi\|^2} \leq \frac{(-\mathcal{N}u_0, u_0)}{\|u_0\|^2} = \frac{4\pi C}{S}.$$

The first statement is proved. Let us prove the second statement.

Since operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is analytic and $\mathcal{D}(0)$ is symmetric, the standard perturbation theory implies that

$$\varsigma_p'(0) = (\mathcal{D}'(0)\varphi_p(0), \varphi_p(0)) = (\mathcal{D}_1\varphi_p(0), \varphi_p(0)),$$

and Lemma 7 justifies statement 2.

Let us prove the last two statements. Since operators (27) are bounded and analytic in k , operators

$$\mathcal{D}(k) : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$$

are compact and analytic in k . Thus, if $\frac{1}{\gamma(0)} \notin Sp(\mathcal{D}(0))$, then the analytic Fredholm theorem implies that the solution $v \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ of equation (7) exists and is analytic in k in some neighborhood of $k = 0$, and therefore (see [19]) solution $u \in H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$ of (1)-(2) exists in some neighborhood of $k = 0$ and is analytic in k .

Let now $\frac{1}{\gamma(0)} = \varsigma_p(0)$ and (13) hold. We fix $\varepsilon = -\gamma(0)/2$, split $L_2(\partial\Omega)$ according to (8) and represent the right hand side f in equation (7) in the form

$$f = f_1 + f_2, \quad f_1 = P_1(k)f \in L_{1,\varepsilon}, \quad f_2 = P_2(k)f \in L_{2,\varepsilon}.$$

Then solution $v \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ has the form

$$v = v_1 + v_2, \quad v_i = (I - \gamma(k)\mathcal{D}(k))_i^{-1} P_i(k)f,$$

where subindex i in the first operator on the right indicates the restriction of the operator on the space $L_{i,\varepsilon}(k)$:

$$(I - \gamma(k)\mathcal{D}(k))_i^{-1} = (I - \gamma(k)\mathcal{D}(k))^{-1} : L_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow L_{i,\varepsilon}.$$

From the choice of ε it follows that the operator

$$(I - \gamma(k)\mathcal{D}(k))_2^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\gamma(k)\mathcal{D}(k))^j$$

is analytic in a neighborhood of $k = 0$, and therefore the same is true for $v_2 \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. In order to find v_1 , we write $P_1(k)f$ in the form

$$P_1(k)f = \sum_{j=0}^{N_\varepsilon} a_j(k)\varphi_j(k), \quad a_j(0) = \gamma(0)c_j.$$

Then, as $k \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$v_1(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{1 - \gamma(k)\mathcal{D}(k)} a_j(k)\varphi_j(k, r) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{1 - \gamma(k)\mathcal{D}(k)} (\gamma(0)c_j\varphi_j(0, r) + O(k)). \quad (36)$$

Hence,

$$v = \frac{1}{k} \sum_p^{p+m-1} \frac{-c_j\gamma(0)}{(\gamma\varsigma_j)'(0)} \varphi_j(0, r) + O(1), \quad k \rightarrow 0. \quad (37)$$

Since $u = \mathcal{D}v$, $r \in \partial\Omega$, (37) immediately implies (14).

In order to obtain (17), we note that the Green formula allows us to rewrite the first equality in (24) in the form

$$u_\infty(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(u \frac{\partial}{\partial n} e^{-ik(\theta \cdot r)} - v e^{-ik(\theta \cdot r)} \right) dS.$$

This, (37) and (14) imply that, as $k \rightarrow 0$,

$$u_\infty(\theta) = \frac{-1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} v dS + O(1) = \frac{-1}{4\pi k} \sum_p^{p+m-1} \frac{-c_j^2\gamma(0)}{(\gamma\varsigma_j)'(0)} + O(1) = \frac{\gamma(0)}{4\pi k} \sum_p^{p+m-1} c_j b_j + O(1),$$

where coefficients b_j are defined in (15). This proves (17). The last statement of the theorem follows from analyticity of v_2 , (36) and linear independence of functions φ_j .

The proof is complete.

Proof of theorem 5. The first statement is an analytic in γ extension of (19) (γ_1 appears in that statement because the integral kernel of operator F^* contains complex conjugation). Let us prove the second statement. From (24) it follows that the integral

kernel u_∞ of operator F is smooth with respect to θ, α and meromorphic in k , i.e., (18) is a meromorphic family of Fredholm operators. Consider $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^{-1}(k)$. From the relation $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^{-1}(k)\mathcal{S}_\gamma(k) = I$ it follows that $\mathcal{S}_\gamma(k)$ has a pole at $k = k_0$ if and only if $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^{-1}(k)$ has a non-trivial kernel at this point.

Consider now operator $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\gamma(k)$ which is defined as follows. If $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^{-1}(k)f(\theta) = g(\theta)$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\gamma(k)f(-\theta) = g(-\theta)$. Obviously, operators $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^{-1}(k)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\gamma(k)$ have non-trivial kernels at the same points $k \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let us construct operator $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\gamma(k)$. First we assume that $k > 0$. From (22) it follows that

$$\mathcal{S}_\gamma^{-1}(k)u_{out}(\theta) = u_{in}(-\theta), \quad k > 0.$$

Thus

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\gamma(k)u_{out}(-\theta) = u_{in}(\theta), \quad k > 0.$$

On the other hand, after complex conjugation in (21) and application of (22), we get

$$\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_1}(k)\overline{u_{out}}(-\theta) = \overline{u_{in}}(\theta), \quad \gamma_1 = \overline{\gamma}, \quad k > 0.$$

Hence,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\gamma(k)f(\theta) = \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_1}(k)\overline{f(\theta)}}, \quad \gamma_1 = \overline{\gamma}, \quad k > 0.$$

We extend the last relation analytically in the complex plane k . If $\gamma_1 = \overline{\gamma(\overline{k})}$, $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\gamma(k)f(\theta) = \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_1}(\overline{k})\overline{f(\theta)}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This proves the second statement of the theorem. The last statement follows immediately from Theorem 1. The proof is complete.

Appendix 1.

A. Reduction of acoustic equations to an impedance problem. The general acoustic equations in $\Omega = R^3 \setminus \mathcal{O}$ have the form

$$\Delta p(r) + k^2 p(r) = 0, \quad -i\omega \rho_l (\nabla u) = -\nabla p, \quad (38)$$

where p satisfies the radiation conditions at infinity. Here $Re(p(r)e^{-i\omega t})$ is the pressure, u is the velocity potential ($v = \nabla u$), $\rho_l > 0$ is the density of the media. The second equation above follows from the Newton law: density of the media times acceleration equals to the negative of the gradient of the pressure, where the minus sign is needed since the direction of the force corresponds to the decay of the pressure.

Now we are going to derive boundary conditions for equations (38) assuming that the obstacle is covered by a springy layer with mutually independent "springs", and the thickness $h \sim 0$ of the layer is negligible. Since the normal velocity of the media at the

boundary coincides with the normal velocity of the cover of the obstacle (there are no voids between media and the cover), the Hooke's law implies

$$\frac{1}{-i\omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(r) = -\beta p(r), \quad r \in \partial\Omega. \quad (39)$$

Here n is the interior unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, the left hand side is the radial displacement (the integral with respect to time of the normal velocity), $\beta > 0$ is the compressibility coefficient (for "springs"), and the sign minus on the right indicates that the layer shrinks when $\beta > 0$ increases. Note that β could depend on the point $r \in \partial\Omega$.

The second equation in (38) implies that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \frac{1}{i\omega\rho_l} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}. \quad (40)$$

From here and the Hooke law it follows that

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}(r) + \beta\rho_l\omega^2 p(r) = 0, \quad r \in \partial\Omega. \quad (41)$$

We obtained the impedance boundary condition for p , where the impedance has the form (23) with $Z = \beta\rho_l$.

B. Evaluation of the compressibility coefficient. Consider a rigid obstacle $\mathcal{O}$ covered by an elastic membrane with a gas (for example, air) layer in between them and numerous rigid walls fixed to the obstacle and the membrane which partition the gas layer into small chambers. We assume that the sizes of chambers are small enough so that the impedance can be considered as local. All the construction is submerged into a liquid. We will show that the compressibility coefficient β is given by the following expression

$$\beta = \frac{\gamma_g}{\rho_g c_g^2} h, \quad (42)$$

where ρ_g the density of the gas in the chambers, c_g is the speed of the sound propagation there, h is the distance between the obstacle and the membrane, $\gamma_g = C_p/C_v$ is the ratio of heat capacities of the gas with constant pressure and volume respectively.

In fact, Kliperon's law implies that

$$PV = \frac{m}{\mu} RT, \quad (43)$$

where P, V are values of unperturbed pressure and volume in the chambers, μ is molecular weight of the gas, R is the universal gas constant. Let $\Delta P, \Delta V$ be the change in P and V respectively. Assuming that the temperature is constant, we get

$$\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta P} = -\frac{V}{P}.$$

Let $V = Sh$, $\Delta V = S\Delta h$, where S is the area of the chamber base. Then

$$\beta = -\frac{\Delta h}{\Delta P} = \frac{h}{P}, \quad (44)$$

From (43) it follows that

$$P = \frac{m}{V} \frac{RT}{\mu} = \rho_g \frac{RT}{\mu},$$

and since

$$c_g = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_g RT}{\mu}},$$

we obtain

$$P = \frac{\rho_g (c_g)^2}{\gamma_g}. \quad (45)$$

We substitute the latter expression into (44) and arrive at (42).

From (42) and (41), taking into account that $\omega = kc_l$, we obtain the following final expression for the impedance in the problem under consideration

$$\gamma = -\gamma_g \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \left(\frac{c_l}{c_g} \right)^2 hk^2. \quad (46)$$

Let us find this value assuming that the air is used as a gas and water with zero temperature is outside of the construction. Then

γ_g	ρ_g	c_g	ρ_l	c_l
1.383	1.3kg/m ³	331.3m/s	1000kg/m ³	1390m/s

and therefore

$$\gamma \sim -25800hk^2. \quad (47)$$

Note that both k and γ are measured in unites of $(\text{length})^{-1}$.

C. Impedance when a friction is present. Assume that oscillations of a springy cover is accompanied by friction. Usually, a force due to friction is proportional to the velocity: $F_{fr} = -\varepsilon du/dn$. In natural circumstances, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, but one also can create artificially a situation when $\varepsilon < 0$ (negative friction). Let us write an analog of (39). By equating all the forces, we obtain

$$-\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \frac{-1}{\beta} \frac{1}{-i\omega} \frac{du}{dn}(r) = p(r), \quad r \in \partial\Omega. \quad (48)$$

Using (40), we obtain

$$\frac{dp}{dn}(r) + (\beta\rho_l)\omega^2 \left(\frac{1 + i\varepsilon\omega\beta}{1 + (\varepsilon\omega\beta)^2} \right) p(r) = 0, \quad r \in \partial\Omega. \quad (49)$$

One can see that the presence of a friction creates an imaginary part of γ , and natural friction corresponds to $\Im\gamma < 0$, and "negative friction" corresponds to $\Im\gamma > 0$.

Acknowledgement. The authors are very grateful to N. Grinberg, A. Kirsch and M.A. Mironov for numerous and useful discussions. In particular, appendix I would not have been written without an input from M.A. Mironov.

The work of the first author was supported in part by *Centre for Research on Optimization and Control* (CEOC) from the "*Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia*" (FCT), cofinanced by the European Community Fund FEDER/POCTI, and by the FCT research project PTDC/MAT/113470/2009. The work of the second author was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-0706928.

References

- [1] M.S. Agranovich, Spectral problems for second-order strongly elliptic systems in domains with smooth and nonsmooth boundaries, Russian Math. Surveys 57 (2002), no. 5, 847-920.
- [2] H.-D. Alber, A.G. Ramm, Asymptotics of the solution to Robin problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 349 (2009) 156164.
- [3] A. Aleksenko, P. Cruz, E. Lakshtanov, High-frequency limit of the transport cross section in scattering by an obstacle with impedance boundary conditions, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 41 No 25 (2008), 255203.
- [4] P. Blekher, On operators that depend meromorphically on a parameter, Moscow Univ. Math. Bulliten, 24:5-6 (1972), 21-26
- [5] D. Colton, R. Kress, Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory, Series: Applied Mathematical Sciences , Vol. 93, 1998.
- [6] R.R. Gadyl'shin, Existence and asymptotics of poles with small imaginary part for the Helmholtz resonator, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 52:1(1997), 1-72.
- [7] N. Grinberg, A. Kirsch, The factorization method for obstacles with a-priori separated sound-soft and sound-hard parts, Elsevier Science Publishers, 2004.
- [8] M. Gureev, M. Mironov, Superfocusing of a spherical waves: theory and experiment, Acoust.Phys., 53(6), (2007) 774-778.
- [9] V. Isakov, Inverse problems for Partial Differential Equations, Series: Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 127, 2006.
- [10] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

- [11] E. Lakshtanov, Spectral properties of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator for exterior Helmholtz problem and its applications to scattering theory, Submitted.
- [12] T. G. Leighton, The Acoustic Bubble, Academic Press, 1994.
- [13] A.G. Ramm, Scattering by Obstacles, Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1986.
- [14] A.G. Ramm, Scattering by small bodies of arbitrary shapes, Worlds Scientific Publishing, 2005.
- [15] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, IV, Academic Press, 1978.
- [16] A.N. Tychonov, A.A. Samarsky, Equations of Mathematical Physics, Pergamon, Oxford, 1963.
- [17] G. Uhlmann, Inverse boundary value problems and applications, Asterisque, 207 (1992), pp. 153-211.
- [18] B. R. Vainberg and V. Grushin, Uniformly nonelliptic problems, Math. USSR-Sbornik, 2 No 1 (1967), pp. 111-133.
- [19] B. Vainberg, On exterior elliptic problems polynomially depending on a spectral parameter, and the asymptotic behaviour for large time of solutions of nonstationary problems, Math. USSR Sbornik, Vol 21, No 2, (1973), pp 221-239.
- [20] B. Vainberg, On the short wave asymptotic behaviour of solutions of stationary problems and the asymptotic behaviour as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of solutions of non-stationary problems, Russ. Math. Surv., 30, (1975), no.2, 1-58.